UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,650	05/26/2005	Stephen Brian Morris	P/63699	2473
Kirschstein, Israel, Schiffmiller & Pieroni, P.C. 425 FIFTH AVENUE 5TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016-2223			EXAMINER	
			JOO, JOSHUA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2454	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/536,650	MORRIS, STEPHEN BRIAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOSHUA JOO	2454	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 ☐ 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowardsed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 22-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 22-42 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examination   The drawing(s) filed on 5/26/05 is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination   The drawing(s) filed on 5/26/05 is/are: a)   Application   Application	awn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

This Office action is in response to Applicant's communication filed on 01/29/2009.

Claims 22-42 are pending for examination.

**Response to Arguments** 

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-42 have been considered but are moot in view of

the new ground(s) of rejection.

**Information Disclosure Statement** 

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted 01/29/2009 is in compliance with the

provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the IDS is being considered by the Examiner.

**Drawings** 

The drawings are objected to because: figures 2 and 3 are not clearly legible. The text in the

windows cannot be clearly read. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are

required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement

drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even

if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be

labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from

the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate

changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional

replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing

sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either

"Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the

Application/Control Number: 10/536,650 Page 3

Art Unit: 2454

examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## **Claim Objections**

Claims 22-42 are objected to because of the following informalities:

i) Regarding claims 22, 40-42, "at least one of the fields" should be changed to "at least one of the editable fields" to clearly refer to "editable fields".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 22-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- i) Regarding claims 22, 40-42, it is unclear as to which or what types "the types" are referring to in the claims.
- ii) Regarding claims 26-27, "the specified field" has insufficient antecedent basis. The claims recite "specifies the contents" but does not refer to specifying a field.
- iii) Regarding claim 35, it is unclear as to which or what type of RO, "the type of the RO" is referring to in the claim.
- iv) Regarding claim 38, it is unclear as which or what type of hops "the types of hop" is referring to in the claim.
- v) Regarding claim 39, it is unclear as to which or what types of group RO, "the types of group RO" is referring to in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 22-32, 34-38, 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Proulx et al. US Publication #2003/0137532 (Proulx hereinafter).

As per claim 22, Proulx teaches the invention as claimed including in a telecommunications network, a route object (RO) computer program product stored on a computer readable medium, comprising:

means for creating the RO for use in setting-up a connection in the telecommunications network which present a user with editable fields relating to more than one type of the RO, and allows the user to specify contents of at least one of the fields to create any one of the types of the RO defining routes through network elements of the telecommunications network (Paragraph 0040. New IP link type. Paragraph 0038. Configure IP link. Specify type of application, e.g. MPLS. Paragraph 0058. fig. 4. Fields for editing and specifying content.).

As per claim 40, Proulx teaches the invention as claimed including in a method for creating a route object (RO), comprising the steps of:

running a RO computer program stored on a computer readable medium which creates the RO for use in setting-up a connection in a telecommunications network which present a user with editable fields relating to more than one type of the RO, and specifying contents of at least one of the fields to create any one of the types of the RO defining routes through network elements of the telecommunications network

Page 5

MPLS. Paragraph 0058. fig. 4. Fields for editing and specifying content.).

As per claim 41, Proulx teaches the invention as claimed including a network management system (NMS) comprising:

a route object (RO) computer program product stored on a computer readable medium including means for creating the RO for use in setting-up a connection in the telecommunications network which present a user with editable fields relating to more than one type of the RO, and allows the user to specify contents of at least one of the fields to create any one of the types of the RO defining routes through network elements of the telecommunications network (Paragraph 0040. New IP link type. Paragraph 0038. Configure IP link. Specify type of application, e.g. MPLS. Paragraph 0058. fig. 4. Fields for editing and specifying content.).

As per claim 42, Proulx teaches the invention as claimed including a method of setting up a connection of a telecommunications network, comprising the steps of:

using a route object (RO) created using a RO computer program product stored on a computer readable medium operative for creating the RO for use in setting-up a connection in the telecommunications network, and presenting a user with editable fields relating to more than one type of the RO, and allowing the user to specify contents of at least one of the fields to create any one of the types of the RO defining routes through network elements of the telecommunications network (Paragraph 0040. New IP link type. Paragraph 0038. Configure IP link. Specify type of application, e.g. MPLS. Paragraph 0058. fig. 4. Fields for editing and specifying content.).

Art Unit: 2454

As per claim 23, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 22, in which the RO presents the at least one editable field relating to a type of route defined by the RO (Paragraph 0057. Point-to-Point link. Select Link application, Link type, parameters, etc...).

As per claim 24, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 22, in which the RO presents at least one editable field relating to at least one network element (NE) of a route through the telecommunications defined by the RO (Paragraph 0058. Configure endpoint.).

As per claim 25, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 22, in which each type of RO comprises at least one hop, and the RO computer product presents at least one editable field relating to the at least one hop of the RO (Paragraph 0058. New endpoint, e.g. router. Paragraph 0057. Provide IP address, parameters for endpoint. Table 3.1. Link type with supported hops, one or multi-hop. Paragraph 0058. Edit interface endpoint.).

As per claim 26, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 22, in which, when the user specifies the contents of the at least one editable field, at least one of the specified field and specified contents is used by the RO computer program product to determine which subsequent editable field is presented to the user (Paragraph 0043. Select point-to-subnet link. Make fields visible and invisible. Table 6. Fields displayed on New IP Link Form.).

As per claim 27, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 22, in which, when the user specifies the contents of the at least one editable field, at least one of the specified field and the specified contents is used by the RO computer program product to determine at least one

default setting of at least one subsequent editable field presented to the user (Table 6. Default value, e.g. numbering, ip forwarding, etc...).

As per claim 28, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 22, and comprising means for modifying at least one RO (Claims 7 and 8. Paragraphs 0041; 0067; 0074. Modify or delete an IP link.).

As per claim 29, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 22, and comprising means for copying at least one RO (Paragraph 0052. Paste existing path.).

As per claim 30, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 22, and comprising means for storing at least one RO in a storage facility (Paragraphs 0056; 0076. Link is saved into database.).

As per claim 31, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 22, and comprising means for deleting at least one RO (Claim 8. Paragraphs 0041; 0067; 0074. Delete an IP link.).

As per claim 32, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 22, and comprising means for discovering at least one RO (Paragraph 0057. Link crated by auto-discovery.).

As per claim 34, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 22, and comprising means for interfacing with the user (fig. 4 and 7; paragraph 0057. GUI.).

Art Unit: 2454

As per claim 35, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 34, in which the means for interfacing presents a same interface to the user regardless of the type of the RO to be created (Paragraph 0020. Dedicated GUI.).

As per claim 36, Proulx teaches the RO computer program according to claim 34, in which the means for interfacing comprises a graphical user interface (GUI) which presents at least one window to the user, to allow the user to create the RO (fig. 4 and 7; paragraph 0057. GUI. window).

As per claim 37, Proulx teaches the RO computer program according to claim 36, in which the GUI presents a network element (NE) listing window to the user which comprises a NE context menu having a create RO menu item which brings up a window comprising a RO creation dialog box, which comprises at least one editable field relating to at least one type of RO, and the user specifies the contents of at least one of the fields to create any one of the types of RO (Paragraph 0040. Option to select link type. Paragraph 0057. Window for Point-to-Point configuration.).

As per claim 38, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 36, in which the RO being created comprises at least one hop, and the at least one hop is added to the RO using a window comprising a hop creation dialog box, which comprises at least one editable field relating to at least one type of hop, and the user specifies the contents of at least one of the fields to create any one of the types of hop (Paragraph 0058. New endpoint, e.g. router. Paragraph 0057. Provide IP address, parameters for endpoint.).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Proulx, in view of Ahearn et al. US Patent #5,926,463 (Ahearn hereinafter).

As per claim 33, Proulx does not specifically teach the RO computer program product according to claim 22, and comprising means for discovering changes in the telecommunications network.

Ahearn teaches of an invention for managing a configuration of a computer network comprising of discovering changes in a telecommunications network (col. 8, lines 15-32; col. 10, lines 45-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings to discover changes in a telecommunications network. The motivation for the suggested combination is that Ahearn's teachings would improve management in Proulx's teachings by enabling detection of faulty connections and failures in the telecommunications network.

Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Proulx, in view of White, US Publication #2003/0046657 (White hereinafter).

As per claim 39, Proulx teaches the RO computer program product according to claim 36, in which the GUI presents a network element (NE) listing window to the user which comprises a NE context menu having a create RO menu item which brings up a window comprising a creation dialog box, which comprises at least one editable field relating to at least one type of RO, and the user specifies the content

of at least one of the fields to create any one of the types of RO (Paragraph 0040. Select link type to present form. Paragraph 0057. Display new form.). Proulx does not specifically teach of the RO being created comprising a group of RO.

White teaches of configuring a group of RO (Paragraphs 0130; 0155. Configure route group comprising a plurality of routes.).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings to create a group of RO as taught by White for the above steps as taught by Proulx. The motivation for the suggested combination is that White's teachings would improve Proulx's teachings by enabling efficient configuration of devices with route information (Paragraph 0026).

## Conclusion

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

i) Conrad et al. US Publication #2002/0091857 teaches of using a graphical interface to create a path by specifying path type.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua Joo whose telephone number is 571 272-3966. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8AM to 5PM and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on 571 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,650 Page 11

Art Unit: 2454

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/J. J./

Examiner, Art Unit 2454

/Nathan J. Flynn/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2454